

Breakout on JEMCO Resolution

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Group #: | Administration and office of the President |
| Department: | |
| Campus: | |
| Position Title: | |

President's Retreat 2011

| Questions | Comments/Discussion |
|--|--|
| <p>1. What are the implications of the resolution on college finances? What would be the impact of increasing tuition on enrollment and finances? What would be the impact of increasing the percent of college finances on PELL both short and long term (up to 2023)? In the long term (after 2023) how sustainable is the reliance on PELL grant for financing higher education in the FSM?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Current status: 11.2 million, 3.8 million is fsm appropriation. Reduced by 700,000 in next 4 years. 7.4 million comes from tuit. and fees ▪ |
| <p>2. What are the implications of the resolution on facilities? What are the implications of the resolution on college structure?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Facilities from idp budget. ▪ We have high enrollment this term, we may need new projects. ▪ fy2012 -2016 com-fsm projects will not be considered for funding by jemco. (recommend we do not ask for new projects?) ▪ We cannot implement any new programs that will require specialized facilities (i.e. nursing) until after 2016. ▪ If we cannot implement new facilities for new programs, do we have to restructure? ▪ Reduction of 3.8 million ▪ |
| <p>3. What might be the college response? Is the information in the resolution factual? What issues are being raised by the resolution? How might the college address these issues to both the FSM Leadership and JEMCO? What are alternate revenue sources for the college? What data and information do we have now that may support a college position? What data and information do we need to gather to support a college position and who collects that information?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Has to be a balance, tuition cannot be too high, over reliant on pell grant. ▪ Information is factual, scholarship part may not be factual ▪ Other colleges in the US use federal funds for remedial courses for students, we are 2nd language English speakers, we should qualify. ▪ We risk losing accreditation from WASC with over dependency on PELL grant. ▪ Reality in Washington DC makes future of PELL uncertain for FSM. ▪ Local Revenue source should be |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ considered for funding the COM-FSM▪ We may reconsider misperception of 'refund', list down possible items funds are expected to be used for (used to support school)▪ Does Jemco want k-12 educated Micronesians entering the US or College graduates?▪ Increase Tuition if this proposal does go through.▪ If they want new programs, they should fund it completely, instead of us increasing tuition▪ Put more scholarship money to the states to give to students if we increase student tuition and fee's.▪ |
|--|--|